



# Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream using MAGIC and climate models (Litavka, Czech Republic)

D. W. Hardekopf, J. Horecký, J. Kopáček, E. Stuchlík

## ► To cite this version:

D. W. Hardekopf, J. Horecký, J. Kopáček, E. Stuchlík. Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream using MAGIC and climate models (Litavka, Czech Republic). Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions, 2007, 4 (5), pp.3285-3315. hal-00298891

**HAL Id: hal-00298891**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00298891>**

Submitted on 11 Sep 2007

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Papers published in *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions* are under open-access review for the journal *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*

# Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream using MAGIC and climate models (Litavka, Czech Republic)

D. W. Hardekopf<sup>1</sup>, J. Horecký<sup>1</sup>, J. Kopáček<sup>2</sup>, and E. Stuchlík<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Environmental Studies, Faculty of Science, Charles University in Prague, Benátská 2, 12801, Prague 2, Czech Republic

<sup>2</sup>Hydrobiological Institute, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, and Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of South Bohemia, Na Sádkách 7, 37005, České Budějovice, Czech Republic

Received: 1 December 2006 – Accepted: 29 January 2007 – Published: 11 September 2007

Correspondence to: D. W. Hardekopf (davidh@natur.cuni.cz)

HESSD

4, 3285–3315, 2007

## Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

## Abstract

Two branches forming the headwaters of a stream in the Czech Republic were studied. Both streams have similar catchment characteristics and historical deposition; however one is rain-fed and strongly affected by acid atmospheric deposition, the other spring-fed and only moderately acidified. The MAGIC model was used to reconstruct past stream water and soil chemistry of the rain-fed branch, and predict future recovery up to 2050 under current proposed emissions levels. A future increase in air temperature calculated by a regional climate model was then used to derive climate-related scenarios to test possible factors affecting chemical recovery up to 2100. Macroinvertebrates were sampled from both branches, and differences in stream chemistry were reflected in the community structures. According to modelled forecasts, recovery of the rain-fed branch will be gradual and limited, and continued high levels of sulphate release from the soils will continue to dominate stream water chemistry, while scenarios related to a predicted increase temperature will have little impact. The likelihood of colonization of species from the spring-fed branch was evaluated considering the predicted extent of chemical recovery. The results suggest that the possibility of colonization of species from the spring-fed branch to the rain-fed will be limited to only the acid-tolerant stonefly, caddisfly and dipteran taxa in the modelled period.

## 1 Introduction

Acidification of surface waters in sensitive regions due to extremely high emissions of sulphur (S) and nitrogen (N) compounds has been well documented in Central Europe (Moldan and Schnoor, 1992; Fott et al., 1994). Large reductions in S and N inputs in the 1980s and 1990s have resulted in significant reversal of acidification in some of these fresh waters (Veselý et al., 2002). Dynamic models have been successfully applied to many catchments in the region (Majer et al., 2003; Hruška and Krám, 2003; Kopáček et al., 2004a) and have proven to be robust in predicting changes in soil and water

**HESSD**

4, 3285–3315, 2007

### Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

chemistry in response to the decreased deposition. Future predictions based on expected atmospheric emission reductions required under European Union agreements (Gothenburg Protocol – UN-ECE, 1999), however, show that recovery of these ecosystems is complicated by continued leaching of sulphate ( $\text{SO}_4$ ), and in some cases nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3$ ), from soils exposed to long-term deposition of these compounds (Kopáček et al., 2002; Hruška et al., 2002). Although acidification in the former Czechoslovakia was first recognized in the more intensively studied border mountain areas (Fott et al., 1987), a study on the distribution of acidification in the Czech Republic (Veselý and Majer, 1996) showed that the Brdy Mountain region in the central part of the country was among the most anthropogenically acidified areas. The headwater region of one of the streams originating in these mountains, the Litavka River, consists of two branches in the first two kilometres. Both streams have similar catchment characteristics and historical exposure to acid atmospheric deposition. One branch, however, is rain-fed and strongly acidified, with current pH around 4.1 and high levels of reactive aluminium ( $\text{Al}_R$ , sensu Driscoll, 1984). The other branch is spring-fed, and currently has an average pH of 5.6, with low concentrations of  $\text{Al}_R$ . The MAGIC model was applied to the rain-fed catchment to reconstruct historical soil and stream water chemistry, and to predict the possibility of the chemical recovery of this ecosystem. The benthic macroinvertebrate communities from both branches were studied, and the likelihood of colonization of species from the spring-fed branch to the rain-fed was evaluated considering the predicted extent of this chemical recovery.

Recently, the question has arisen of how predicted patterns of global climate change might affect the course of recovery in sensitive ecosystems such as the Litavka. “Confounding factors” (Wright and Jenkins, 2001) related to climate-related changes in temperature and precipitation are predicted to influence the outcome of surface water recovery. Some of these factors are changes in dissolved organic carbon (DOC) (Freeman et al., 2001; Evans et al., 2005), temperature related weathering (Sommaruga-Wögrath et al., 1997), increased  $\text{NO}_3$  leaching at higher temperatures (Wright, 1998), and increased or more variable precipitation and runoff. Though levels of acid depo-

## Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

sition typically drive acidification models, Wright et al. (2006) tested the sensitivity of MAGIC to temperature-related parameters, such as weathering, organic decomposition, uptake of base cations and nitrogen (through forest growth), precipitation, and production of organic acids through decomposition. They found that in inland forested sites, including two sites in the Czech Republic, increases in DOC, forest growth, and organic decomposition had particular relevance in affecting the future recovery of soil and water chemistry from acidification. Future air temperature calculated by a regional climate model was used to derive scenarios for changes in some of these factors up to the year 2100. We then incorporated these scenarios into the MAGIC model using forecast sequences using the methods described in Wright et al. (2006). Our aim was to examine how these climate-related changes might impact the course of future recovery of the ecosystem.

## 2 Site description

The Brdy Mountain region is one of the largest forested areas within the borders of the Czech Republic (Fig. 1). Many small streams originate in the uplands, most of which are heavily impacted by acidification (Horecký et al., 2006; Veselý and Majer, 1996). The headwater of the Litavka River, in the south-west part of the mountains, is composed of two small branches in the first approximately two kilometres. One branch is rain-fed, while the other has its main source in a small spring. The rain-fed branch of the Litavka has a catchment lying at 695–843 m a.s.l. (49°42′17″ N, 13°50′56″ E; area 1.85 km<sup>2</sup>), and the adjacent spring-fed catchment is separated by just a small ridge. The bedrock of both branches is typical for most of the Brdy Mountains, and consists of Cambrian sandstone, conglomerates, and quartzites. Acidic poor brown cambisolic soils cover both catchments, and peat bogs occur in many areas. Much of the original forest in the area was composed of beech with occasional fir, but was replaced by spruce monocultures, most likely starting before the middle of the 19th century. The vegetation is currently dominated by Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) which covers ap-

## Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

proximately 90% of the catchments, most of which are 80–90 years old; occasionally beech (*Fagus* sp.) oak (*Quercus* sp.) and hazel (*Corylus* sp.) are found in upper parts. The median stream flow of the rain fed-branch is  $1.0\text{ l s}^{-1}$ , though this fluctuates widely during the year depending on rainfall and snow cover, ranging from non-flowing to extreme flood events of over  $1200\text{ l s}^{-1}$ . The flow rate of the spring-fed branch is similar, but has lower seasonal variation because of the underground source of much of the water. Thus, both catchments have almost identical characteristics and exposure to deposition, and differ primarily in the hydraulic regime of the streams. The two branches converge less than 1 km below the sampling sites, and then lead into a small reservoir (Fig. 1). At the beginning of the 19th century the streams supported brown trout (*Salmo trutta* – Čáka, 1998). Currently, the reservoir supports a native population of minnow (*Phoxinus phoxinus*), though introduced perch (*Perca fluviatilis*) is having a large impact on its population (Fischer, 2005). The minnow, however, does not migrate to either branch.

### 3 Materials and methods

#### 3.1 Biological sampling

Samples of benthic macroinvertebrates were taken by “kick sampling” (Frost et al., 1971) with a hand net of mesh-size  $500\mu\text{m}$  from 10 habitats for 30s each, giving adequate attention to all microhabitats. This was supplemented by a 20-min picking of individuals off submerged stones and wood. Organisms were preserved in the field with 80% ethanol. Samples from the rain-fed branch were generally taken in monthly intervals from January 1999 to April 2000, and then again in September 2004; samples from both rain-fed and spring-fed branches were taken in April, July and October 2005.

## Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

## 3.2 Water, precipitation, and soil chemistry

Samples of water chemistry in the rain-fed branch were taken in at least monthly intervals from November 1998 through March 2000 (22 times). In 2001 sampling was performed every two weeks (27 times). Since 2001, water chemistry samples of the rain-fed branch were taken as follows: January and February 2002; September and November 2004; April, May, July, August and November 2005, and September 2006. Samples from the spring-fed branch were taken in April, July and October 2005, and in September 2006. Samples were filtered on-site through a 40  $\mu\text{m}$  polyamide filter, and transported cold to the laboratory for analysis. In 2001, detailed precipitation (bulk and throughfall) and soil chemistry were studied in the rain-fed branch catchment (Pehal, 2004). Three soil pits were sampled, chosen to best represent the range of soils in the catchment. Pits were dug down to bedrock, and separated into the different organic and mineral layers present at each site. Wet and dry weight, volume and chemical properties were analyzed for each of these layers. Outflow of the rain-fed branch was measured fortnightly in 2001 at a weir installed at the sampling site, approximately 1 km from the source.

For details on analytical methods, see Kopáček et al. (2004b) and Pehal (2004). In short: ions were determined by ion chromatography, total reactive aluminium ( $\text{Al}_R$ ) according to Driscoll (1984), alkalinity by Gran titration, and total organic carbon (TOC) by Shimadzu TOC analyzer. Exchangeable base cations (SBC – sum of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$ , and  $\text{K}^+$ ) and exchangeable acidity (sum of  $\text{Al}^{3+}$  and  $\text{H}^+$ ) were determined at natural soil pH by extracting of air dried soil with 1 M  $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$  and a 1 M KCl solution, respectively.

## 3.3 Modelling of the stream-fed branch: calibration and hindcast

The MAGIC model (version 7, Cosby et al., 2001) was used to reconstruct past stream and soil chemistry, and to predict their future trends. The model uses a lumped representation of catchment physical and chemical parameters. Required inputs to the

HESSD

4, 3285–3315, 2007

### Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

model are historical sequences of deposition chemistry, soil and stream water chemistry for a reference year, and water fluxes in the catchment. For the catchment of the Litavka rain-fed branch, the model was calibrated for the reference year 2001 as follows:

1. Historical deposition curves of ions were taken from those used by Kopáček et al. (2001) for the nearby Bohemian Forest. These deposition sequences have been successfully used to apply the MAGIC model to three lakes in the region (Majer et al., 2003), and well represent the large changes in deposition of S and N that have occurred in central Europe in the past few decades.
2. Soil data from the sampled pits was weighted according to the approximate area of the catchment represented by each of the pits, resulting in catchment-weighted means of all soil chemical parameters (Table 1). Fixed parameters of  $\text{SO}_4$  maximum absorption capacity and half-saturation constant, organic acid dissociation constants, and  $\text{Al}(\text{OH}_3)$  solubility for both soil and stream were estimated using published values from other similar acidified sites in the Czech Republic (Majer et al., 2003; Hruška and Krám, 2003) (Table 1). The  $\text{SO}_4$  maximum absorption capacity was adjusted during the calibration procedure to match observed values of stream sulphate levels.  $\text{Al}(\text{OH}_3)$  solubility was adjusted to match observed stream pH and Al concentrations in the reference year. Uptake of  $\text{NH}_4$  and  $\text{NO}_3$  by biomass was set in the model as sinks, so that concentrations calculated by the model equalled measured stream concentrations in the reference year.
3. Precipitation data for 2001 was applied by weighting throughfall and bulk fluxes of measured ions according to the proportion of the catchment covered by trees (90%) or open areas. Outflow measured in discreet intervals from the weir (179 mm/year, 28% of precipitation volume) was too low to adequately balance chloride (Cl) in the model, which should act conservatively in the catchment (so that stream Cl flux at a given time should be equal to precipitation Cl flux). This is likely due to the missing of significant outflow volume during flooding events.

**Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream**

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Therefore, outflow was increased to 40% of measured precipitation based on hydrological conditions for a similar catchment (Hruška and Krám, 2003) and by calibration of CI in the model.

4. Weathering rates, base cation-exchange selectivity coefficients, and initial base saturation (BS) were optimized by a trial-and-error procedure until modelled pools and concentrations equalled measured pools and concentrations in the calibration year.

Fixed and optimized parameters used as inputs to MAGIC are given in Table 1. The model was run using a yearly time step, starting in 1860. Though chemical data at shorter time intervals was available, because of the changes in sampling frequency and low seasonal variability observed, yearly averages were used in the MAGIC calibration. Since the aim of this modelling was to create a base recovery scenario against which climate-related scenarios could be tested (see below), uncertainty analysis in the model was not performed.

### 3.4 Model forecasting

Forecasting of future trends in soil and stream chemistry was done in two steps. First, deposition trends used in the Bohemian Forest (Majer et al., 2003) were applied until 2050, reflecting emissions levels required under the Gothenburg Protocol. Since the Czech Republic already meets the Protocol's target emissions for  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  compounds, only slight further decreases are expected, while slight increases in  $\text{NH}_4^+$  are predicted.

Next, a regional scale climate model was used to estimate future changes in temperature and precipitation in order to develop longer time-frame trends. The PRUDENCE project (Prediction of regional scenarios and Uncertainties for Defining European Climate change risks and Effects, <http://prudence.dmi.dk/>) has run a number of experiments with regional climate models covering most of Europe at a resolution of  $50 \times 50$  km. Control runs covering the period from 1961–1990 allow users to compare

## Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

and calibrate the model datasets to known measured local data. Future scenario runs, covering 2071–2100, are available using different assumptions in changes of atmospheric carbon dioxide levels. Among the available data output are air temperature, precipitation, cloud cover, runoff, humidity, and wind speed. Monthly data was downloaded for the 50–50 km grid covering the Litavka catchments from the PRUDENCE website. The dataset chosen was from the RCAO regional climate model, which uses driving data from the HadAM3H global circulation model. The A2 emissions scenario from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change was used to provide the regional model with future emissions levels. This scenario represents an almost “worst-case” steady increase in CO<sub>2</sub> levels. For more information and details on these climate models, see the Prudence website (above).

Air temperatures and monthly precipitation volumes for the “control period” of the model (1960–1990) were compared to actual data collected by the Czech Hydrometeorological Institute at the nearby Nepomuk meteorological station (approx. 30 km from the sampling sites) for the period from 1970–1990. The control model temperature and the observed data were well correlated (Fig. 2,  $R^2=0.86$ ). Thus, average temperatures were calculated for the control period and for the future period 2071–2100. The A2 scenario predicts an approximate 4°C average increase in temperature (Fig. 2) between these two averages. Monthly average precipitation generated by the climate model was poorly correlated with the observed data ( $R^2=0.003$ ). In addition, the climate model predicts no significant change in precipitation for the period 2071–2100 compared to the period 1970–1990 (data not shown). Therefore, water fluxes in the catchment were assumed to be constant in the MAGIC forecasts.

The predicted 4°C increase in temperature was incorporated into the MAGIC model by estimating changes as follows:

Weathering of base cations was estimated to increase by 5% for every 1°C increase in temperature. Therefore, present weathering rates were increased steadily in the model until they reached 20% higher rates in the year 2085 (the middle of the 30-year climate-modelled period) and then held constant until 2100 (Wright et al., 2006;

## Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

1. Experimental results (Tipping et al., 1999; Freeman et al., 2001) have shown that DOC release from soils can increase in warmer conditions. The latter study found that a 10°C warming of peaty soils in the UK resulted in a 33% increase in dissolved organic carbon release from the soils. This was used to estimate a steady increase in DOC release, reaching 15% higher than present values in 2085, and then held constant until 2100.
  2. In an artificial experiment raising soil temperature and measuring changes in nitrogen output from soils (CLIMEX, van Breeman et al., 1998), a 3.7°C increase in air temperature resulted in an approximate doubling in net soil N mineralization. A study by Hart and Perry (1999) found that transfer of soil from a high-elevation site to a site with mean annual air temperature 3.9°C higher resulted in a more than doubling of annual soil net N mineralization and nitrification. To approximate such an increase and subsequent NO<sub>3</sub> leaching, we increased the soil source of NO<sub>3</sub> steadily, so that present values were doubled by 2085 and then held constant until 2100.
  3. Increased temperature could lead to increased forest productivity and growth (e.g. Proe et al., 1996). Modelling of a boreal forest by Kellomäki and Väisänen (1997) indicated that temperature increase of 4°C over a 100-year period combined with increased CO<sub>2</sub> could lead to a 32–40% increase in photosynthesis and subsequent stemwood growth. To reflect this possible growth of plants in response to higher temperatures and expected occasional forest harvesting, the uptake of base cations and nitrogen was steadily increased to reach 50% higher values in 2085 and then held constant until 2100.
- Each of these possible changes was incorporated in the MAGIC model separately, and then combined to estimate an overall impact of these factors on soil and water chemistry up to 2100.

## Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

4 Results

4.1 Chemistry of rain-fed and spring-fed branches

Representative recent chemistry of the rain-fed and spring-fed branches of the Litavka River is given in Table 2. In 2005/2006, pH of the rain-fed branch averaged 4.13 (min 4.07, max 4.24). This is similar to the average covering the entire sampling period (1998–2006) of 4.09 (min 3.96, max 4.27). The 2005/2006 average pH in the spring-fed branch was 5.61 (minimum 5.08, maximum 6.03). Stream SO<sub>4</sub> concentrations were similar in the two branches (averaging 386 and 303 μeq l<sup>-1</sup> in the rain-fed and spring-fed branch, respectively). The branches differed significantly, however, in base cation concentrations, which were much lower in the rain-fed branch than in the spring-fed (average SBCs of 170 and 364 μeq l<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). The alkalinity of the two branches differs accordingly, with negative measured Gran alkalinity (average -65 μeq l<sup>-1</sup>) in the more acidified rain-fed branch, and slightly positive values (average 9 μeq l<sup>-1</sup>) in the spring-fed. NO<sub>3</sub> concentrations are almost an order-of-magnitude lower in the rain-fed than spring-fed branch (averages of 6 and 49 μeq l<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). Values of Al<sub>R</sub> are still currently extremely high in the rain-fed branch and very low in the spring-fed, with averages of 65 and 2 μmol l<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Levels of organic carbon are low in both branches- the average total organic carbon (TOC) is 4.5 mg and 2.8 mg C l<sup>-1</sup> in the in the rain-fed and spring-fed, respectively. Because of low amounts of particulate matter in these streams, TOC is a good estimation of dissolved organic carbon (DOC, Horecký et al., 2006).

4.2 Benthic community of the branches

Parallel to the difference in acidification status, the population structures of benthic macroinvertebrates was found to differ significantly. The benthic community of the strongly acidified Litavka rain-fed branch is dominated by the stoneflies *Leuctra nigra*, *Nemurella pictetii* and chironomids *Micropsectra* spp., *Tanytarsus* spp. (Chironom-

Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

inae), *Corynoneura* cf. *lobata* (Orthocladiinae). Less abundant, but characteristic for the community were larvae of the caddisfly *Plectrocnemia conspersa*, the alderfly *Sialis fuliginosa*, the stonefly *Protonemura auberti*, the chironomid *Macropelopia* sp. (Tany-podinae), the black fly *Simulium* spp., and the water beetle *Agabus* spp. (Table 3).

Besides the taxa present in both branches (listed at bottom of Table 3), com-mon solely in the moderately acidified Litavka spring-fed branch are the caddisflies *Drusus annulatus* and juveniles of the family Limnephilidae (*Potamophylax* spp. and *Chaetopteryx* spp.), the stonefly *Diura bicaudata*, and dipteran genera *Dicranota* and *Eloeophila*. Moreover, several individuals of the mollusc *Pisidium casertanum*, the mayfly *Leptophlebia marginata*, and the caddisflies *Rhyacophila praemorsa/polonica* and *Sericostoma personatum* were found. In addition, there were marked differences in the relative abundances of *Corynoneura* sp. (lower) and *Amphinemura sulcicollis* (higher).

### 4.3 MAGIC modelling: rain-fed branch

Modelled trends in SO<sub>4</sub> and SBCs well-reflected their observed concentration in stream water of the rain-fed branch (Fig. 3). SO<sub>4</sub> in 1860 was 96 µeq l<sup>-1</sup>, reflecting the original background level of SO<sub>4</sub> in this region. A historical measurement in 1892 of the similar Lysina stream showed SO<sub>4</sub> of 77 µeq l<sup>-1</sup> (Hanaman, 1896; estimated as equivalent to 53 µeq l<sup>-1</sup> using current analytical methods by Hruška et al., 2002). Levels increased sharply beginning in the 1950s to a peak of 650 µeq l<sup>-1</sup> in the late 1980s, followed by a sharp drop to current concentrations below 400 µeq l<sup>-1</sup>. However, even though pre-cipitation concentrations of SO<sub>4</sub> are already under 40 µeq l<sup>-1</sup>, levels in the stream are predicted to only have declined to 190 µeq l<sup>-1</sup> by 2050. Modelled SBCs in stream water was 124 µeq l<sup>-1</sup> in 1860, increased to 370 µeq l<sup>-1</sup> in 1990, and since have declined to the present <200 µeq l<sup>-1</sup>. Modelled values in 2050 will be approximately equivalent to values before the industrial age.

Historic and present pH reflects the large impact of mainly SO<sub>4</sub> deposition in the

## Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

catchment. In 1860, modelled pH was 5.0 and steadily decreased to a minimum of 4.05 in 1988 (Fig. 3). Measured yearly average values since 1999 range from 4.04 to 4.20, and the model reflects this slight increase. A continued slight increase up to 2050 will result in stream pH of around 4.3, still more than a half pH unit lower than values in 1860. Modelled Gran alkalinity was already below zero in 1860, and dropped rapidly starting in the 1950s to a minimum of  $-95 \mu\text{eq l}^{-1}$  during the 1990s. Alkalinity will gradually increase, but only to about  $-50 \mu\text{eq l}^{-1}$  by 2050.

Low water pH has resulted in extremely high observed  $\text{Al}_R$  concentrations in the stream, with values over  $100 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$  consistently measured in 2000 and 2001 and currently averaging  $65 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ . These values were successfully reproduced by the model (Fig. 3). During the peak of acidification in 1988, modelled values of total Al reached over  $150 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ . Because of low levels of DOC and low pH, almost all Al in the model is in the ionic form. This is substantially higher than has been observed in other acidified catchments in the region; for instance Hruška and Krám (2003) found peak values of around  $90 \mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$  of total Al in a stream with pH around 3.9.

Soil base saturation (BS) was modelled at already very low values of 9% in 1860 (with soil pH of 4.2) indicating that the Litavka soils already had a low BS before the onset of wide-spread acidification in the region. Modelled BS steadily declined to the measured value of 6.1% in 2001. BS is predicted to continue declining slightly to about 5.9%, and even after 40 years of lower atmospheric acid deposition, there is no predicted significant increase expected.

#### 4.4 Climate models

The results of extending the MAGIC model to the year 2100 by including possible effects of a  $4^\circ\text{C}$  temperature increase are shown in Fig. 4. Interestingly, the effects on pH and alkalinity are minimal. Taken individually, the effects of increased weathering, DOC release,  $\text{NO}_3$  mineralization, and uptake of nutrients (forest growth) resulted in changes to stream pH of less than 2% (i.e. the highest predicted pH of 4.38 in 2100 resulting from increased weathering vs. the lowest predicted pH of 4.33 from increased

## Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

uptake). While the effects on Gran alkalinity differed by approximately 13% (the highest of  $-39 \mu\text{eq l}^{-1}$  resulting from increased weathering vs. the lowest  $-45 \mu\text{eq l}^{-1}$  from increased uptake), these changes are minor when compared to the large increase in alkalinity caused by recovery from acidification alone (base recovery, Fig. 4) (from  $-80 \mu\text{eq l}^{-1}$  in 2001 to  $-48 \mu\text{eq l}^{-1}$  in 2050, predicted to increase to  $-42 \mu\text{eq l}^{-1}$  in 2100 without any temperature-related effects). Because some of the effects have negative impacts compared to the base recovery and some positive, when combined, the changes to pH and alkalinity under the scenarios is negligible. The impact on soil BS, however, is more marked (Fig. 5). Of the tested scenarios, only increased weathering had a positive impact, increasing BS from the base recovery of 6.2% in 2100 to 6.6%. All other scenarios decreased BS, with increased uptake having the greatest negative impact, decreasing predicted BS in 2100 to 5.6%. The combined effect of the scenarios was an overall decrease in BS to about 5.8%.

## 5 Discussion

Modelling of the rain-fed branch of the Litavka shows that the reversibility from long-term extreme acidification will be gradual and slow. Even though atmospheric deposition has been substantially reduced, the effects of high historical deposition of  $\text{SO}_4$  to the catchment will continue to effect stream chemistry for decades in the future. This situation has been predicted for other acid-sensitive catchments in the Czech Republic, such as Lysina (Hruška and Krám, 2003) and in the Bohemian Forest (Majer et al., 2003). Similarly to the Litavka catchments, both of these regions lie on acid sensitive bedrock and have catchment vegetation dominated by spruce, which contribute to the acidification of surface waters. Desorption of soil  $\text{SO}_4$  and mineralization of S from organic compounds (Novák et al., 2000) create a hysteresis in the response of these catchments to reduced atmospheric inputs (Kopáček et al., 2002). In these catchments, however, present levels of  $\text{SO}_4$  in stream or lake water have already decreased to  $<100 \mu\text{eq l}^{-1}$ . According to the modelling, this situation is not expected in the Litavka

## Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



rain-fed branch even by 2100. These high concentrations are typical for streams in the region; Horecký et al. (2002) found  $\text{SO}_4$  levels ranging from  $17.6 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  to  $24.7 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  ( $370\text{--}510 \mu\text{eq l}^{-1}$ ) in a survey of 8 streams throughout the Brdy Mountains. This reflects the relatively uniform long-term exposure of the region to high deposition levels.

This region has historically been one of the most intensively industrialized areas of the country. In the 1990s, surface water  $\text{SO}_4$  levels were as high as in the “black triangle” region of the former border between Czechoslovakia, East Germany, and Poland (Kreček and Hořická, 2001)

The catchments of both the rain-fed and spring-fed branches have a long history of anthropogenic influence. A large windstorm in 1749 extensively damaged forests in the region, and a glass works was built within a couple kilometres of the catchments, operating almost continuously for 34 years (Čáka, 1998). This was followed by construction of a reservoir about 2 km below the convergence of the two branches, which was finished in 1822. The forest at this time was likely modified extensively, with most of the original deciduous forest removed and replaced by spruce plantations. This would have led to the intensification of acidification through increased dry deposition and removal of base cations through forest harvesting. This early and extensive removal of base cations from the catchment could explain the low BS modelled already in 1860. Though the spring-fed branch has been exposed to practically identical historical deposition, and has similar catchment vegetation, soil, and bedrock, it is only moderately acidified.  $\text{SO}_4$  levels are almost as high as in the rain-fed branch, but base cations are more than double (Table 2). According to available geologic maps of the region (Czech Geologic Survey, <http://nts5.cgu.cz>, there is no difference in bedrock that could explain this difference. Presumably, then, this is related to the underground source of much of the water from the spring. We hypothesize that water in the spring-fed catchment has a longer residence time than in the rain-fed. This intra-catchment cycling would lead to increased biogeochemical weathering and increased base cations able to buffer the high  $\text{SO}_4$  concentrations, leading to higher pH and alkalinity. However, we cannot rule out the presence of differing geological formations contributing to the

**Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream**

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



observed differences in chemistry.

The possible changes to stream pH and alkalinity due to climate warming will most likely be overshadowed by the continued high levels of SO<sub>4</sub> releasing from catchment soils. However, climate-induced effects could have a significant impact on the catchment's ability to recover if deposition of acidifying compounds should change. Continued recovery relies on continued low atmospheric deposition of S and N, and an increase in either of these could lead to a stop or reversal in chemical recovery. The effects of higher temperature on soil BS could have important impacts on the ability of the soil to buffer any increase in incoming acid. Also, these climate perturbations ignore other possible consequences of climate change that could have more significant impacts on catchment chemistry. For instance, a warmer climate could change the forest composition, increasing the proportion of deciduous trees. This would affect dry deposition of acidifying compounds during winter, as well as base cation fluxes in the soil due to changes in root depth (Puhe and Ulrich, 2001). In addition, nearby forests have been severely affected by bark-beetle (*Ips typographus*) infestations, and warmer weather could increase the sensitivity of the Litavka catchments to infection. The resulting large scale death or harvesting of trees would have significant impacts on catchment chemistry.

A decrease in species diversity in acidified streams is a well-documented effect of acid atmospheric deposition. Aquatic organisms are influenced by the toxicity of H<sup>+</sup> ions or ionic toxic metals (especially Al), problems with ion regulation, corrosion of calcium from shells, changes in food availability, etc. (e.g. Økland and Økland, 1986; Herrmann et al., 1993). Several mechanisms can ameliorate some of the above-mentioned negative effects – e.g. higher humic or calcium content in the water (e.g. Havas and Rosseland, 1995) – but low TOC levels in both Litavka branches provide little protection against Al toxicity. Also, continued high SO<sub>4</sub> levels will result in elevated Al concentrations in the rain-fed branch for the next several decades, despite the large decreases in SO<sub>4</sub> deposition.

In addition, there is an important difference in species' (and thus also community)

**HESSD**

4, 3285–3315, 2007

## Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

tolerance to temporary (episodic) changes in water pH and chronic acidification. The Litavka rain-fed branch is currently strongly acidified and buffered by Al ions (Stumm and Morgan, 1981); therefore only little fluctuations in water pH occur (observed pH minimum during several flood events from 1998–2002 was 3.96, whereas maximum during extremely low flows in May 1999 was 4.27). The forecasted increase in pH and decline in Al concentration will likely result in a more pronounced difference in base-flow and high-flow (acid) episodes which can inhibit the process of recovery of the benthic community (Lepori et al., 2003). Most benthic macroinvertebrates occurring in this chronically acidified branch belong to acid resistant larvae of stoneflies, caddisflies, dipterans, and beetles (according to Braukmann, 2001; similarly in Hämäläinen and Huttunen, 1996) and the community structure is similar to other acidified headwater forest streams in Europe (e.g. Townsend et al., 1983).

Due to the heavy use of the surrounding area and deforestation of the study catchment before 1860, this start of the modelled period does not represent the real background conditions of the rain-fed branch before anthropogenic influence. The overall similarity of both studied catchments (including bedrock) and streams (differing in hydraulic regime, but with comparable average flow rates) leads us to the opinion that the spring-fed branch, though not entirely unaffected by acidification, represents a good approximation of reference conditions for the rain-fed. Acid resistant taxa occurring in the Litavka spring-fed branch (classified as periodically critically acidic) and in less acid neighbouring streams can be considered potential sources of organisms during recovery of the rain-fed branch.

In general, most freshwater crustaceans, molluscs and mayflies are considered acid sensitive. The only mayfly present in the spring-fed branch, *Leptophlebia marginata*, is generally considered to be one of the most acid tolerant (e.g. Fjellheim and Raddum, 1990) and according to Engblom and Lingdell (1984) is able to survive at pH 4.2. However, it was not found in regional strongly acidified low-humic streams (pH<4.6, TOC<8.9 mg l<sup>-1</sup>; Horecký et al., 2006) although it was present in local streams with pH above 4.9 (Horecký et al., 2002). This either indicates a sensitivity to ionic Al or

## Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

the ability tolerate acid episodes below pH 5.0 rather than chronic acidity according to Braukmann's (2001) classification. The only mollusc in the spring-fed branch is the regionally most acid tolerant *Pisidium casertanum*, which can survive at pH 4.7 and appears to be a calcifuge to some extent (Horsák, 2006). Though these species were likely part of the pre-acidification community of both branches, neither can be expected to successfully colonize the rain-fed branch in the near future.

On the other hand, many stoneflies, caddisflies and dipterans are classified as acid resistant. The stonefly *Diura bicaudata* is capable of tolerating permanently acidic waters and is classified as acid resistant (Braukmann, 2001), though Fjellheim and Raddum (1990) consider this species to be moderately sensitive, tolerating pH in the range of 5.0–5.5. Similarly, the dipteran larvae of the genus *Dicranota*, caddisfly larvae of the species *Drusus annulatus*, *Chaetopteryx villosa* and most of the genus *Rhyacophila* (except *R. tristis*) are acid resistant (Braukmann, 2001). Despite the presence of these taxa in other acidified neighbouring streams (Horecký et al., 2002) and in the spring-fed branch, they are absent in the rain-fed branch. As appears from a regional study (Horecký et al., 2006), these taxa can live in chronically acidified streams, but in low-humic waters only at pH>4.4. Therefore, these are the most likely colonizers of the rain-fed branch as pH continues to rise, and can be considered “first indicators” of biological recovery. Recovery beyond what is predicted by this modelling would be necessary to allow the colonization of even the acid tolerant molluscs and mayflies, (e.g. *Pisidium casertanum*, *Leptophlebia marginata*) and for the return of brown trout (*Salmo trutta*).

## 6 Conclusions

Modelling shows an only very slow, gradual recovery of pH and alkalinity to the strongly acidified rain-fed branch of the Litavka River. While current deposition of acidifying compounds is already low, SO<sub>4</sub> leaching from the soil, combined with depleted BS, will continue to prevent stream pH and alkalinity from recovering to their levels before

**HESSD**

4, 3285–3315, 2007

### Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

1860. The influence of increased temperature in the catchment due to climate change will apparently have little impact on the prognosis for chemical recovery. However, other changes not incorporated into this modelling (e.g. forest decline or changes) could have significant impacts on the future course of recovery.

5 The macroinvertebrate composition in the rain-fed branch of the Litavka River reflects acidic conditions caused by the synergetic effect of acid precipitation, mainly long-term deposition of S, intensified by spruce monocultures, in an acid-sensitive catchment, with elevated Al concentrations along with low humic content in water. Chronically low water pH resulted in the absence of acid sensitive taxonomic groups such as molluscs, mayflies and crustaceans, and of acid sensitive stonefly and caddisfly species.

10 The species with highest potential to colonize the rain-fed branch of the Litavka are *Diura bicaudata*, *Drusus annulatus*, *Chaetopteryx villosa*, *Rhyacophila praemorsa/polonica*, and *Dicranota* sp. because of their tolerance of pH down to 4.4 and presence in less acid neighbouring running waters. Recovery of the rain-fed branch beyond what is predicted by our modelling would be necessary to enable the colonization of other species.

*Acknowledgements.* We wish to thank the Czech Geological Survey for accessing part of their hydrochemical database, and D. Pychova at the Czech Hydrometeorological Institute for providing precipitation and temperature data from nearby meteorological monitoring sites. Climate data have been provided through the PRUDENCE data archive (EU contract EVK2-CT2001-00132). This research was supported by the Czech Science Foundation (GACR grant No. 206/04/P163 and 103/04/0214) and the Environment Project of the European Commission, EURO-LIMPACS (GOCE-CT-2003-505540). In addition, we would like to thank the many colleagues and students who have contributed in important ways to this research:

25 P. Chvojka, J. Špaček, J. Hájek, J. Hruška, J. Kulina, Z. Pehal, and J. Rucki.

Edited by: P. Dillon and R. F. Wright

**HESSD**

4, 3285–3315, 2007

---

## Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

## References

- Braukmann, U.: Stream acidification in South Germany – chemical and biological assessment methods and trends, *Aquatic Ecology*, 35, 207–232, 2001.
- Čáka, J.: *Střední Brdy – krajina neznámá*, Mladá fronta, Praha, 159 pp., 1998.
- 5 Cosby, B. J., Ferrier, R. C., Jenkins, A., and Wright, R. F.: Modelling the effects of acid deposition: refinements, adjustments and inclusion of nitrogen dynamics in the MAGIC model, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 5, 499–518, 2001,  
<http://www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci.net/5/499/2001/>.
- 10 Driscoll, C. T.: A procedure for the fractionation of aqueous aluminum in dilute acidic waters, *Intern. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.*, 16, 267–284, 1984.
- Engblom, E. and Lingdell, P. E.: The mapping of short-term acidification with the help of biological pH indicators, *Rep. Inst. Freshw. Res., Drottningholm*, 61, 60–68, 1984.
- Evans, C. D., Monteith, D. T., and Cooper, D. M.: Long-term increases in surface water dissolved organic carbon: Observations, possible causes and environmental impacts, *Environm. Pollut.*, 137, 55–71, 2005.
- 15 Fischer, D., Fischerová, J., and Švátora, M.: Mihule a ryby (Lampreys and fish), in: *Střední Brdy*, edited by: Čilek, V., Ložek, V., Škoda, A., et al, Příbram, 151–154 (in Czech), 2005.
- Fjellheim, A. and Raddum, G. G.: Acid precipitation: Biological monitoring of streams and lakes, *Sci. Total. Environ.*, 96, 57–66, 1990.
- 20 Fott, J., Stuchlík, E., and Stuchlíková, Z.: Acidification of the Lakes in Czechoslovakia, in: *Extended Abstracts of the International Workshop on Geochemistry and Monitoring in Representative Basins*, edited by: Moldan, B. and Pačes, T., ČGÚ, Praha, 77–79, 1987.
- Fott, J., Pražáková, M., Stuchlík, E., and Stuchlíková, Z.: Acidification of lakes in Šumava (Bohemia) and in the High Tatra Mts. (Slovakia), *Hydrobiologia*, 274, 37–47, 1994.
- 25 Freeman, C., Evans, C. D., Monteith, D., and Fenner, N.: Export of organic carbon from peat soils, *Nature*, 412, 785–785, 2001.
- Frost, S.: Evaluation of kicking technique for sampling stream bottom fauna, *Can. J. Zool.*, 49, 167–173, 1971.
- Hanaman, J.: Dissolved characteristics of running waters. Part 1: Hydrochemistry of the Ohře (in Czech), *Archív pro Přírodovědecký Výzkum Cech*, vol.9, no. 4, Dr. E. Grégr and F. Hrivnác Press, Prague, 1986
- 30 Havas, M. and Rosseland, B. O.: Response of zooplankton, benthos, and fish to acidification:

HESSD

4, 3285–3315, 2007

### Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

- An overview, *Water, Air, Soil Pollut.*, 85, 51–62, 1995.
- Hämäläinen, H. and Huttunen, P.: Inferring the minimum pH of streams from macroinvertebrates using weighted averaging regression and calibration, *Freshwater Biology*, 36, 679–709, 1996.
- 5 Hart, S. C. and Perry, D. A.: Transferring soils from high- to low-elevation forests increases nitrogen cycling rates: climate change implications, *Global Change Biol.*, 5(1), 23–32, 1999.
- Herrmann, J., Degerman, E., Gerhardt, A., Johansson, K., Lingdell, P., and Muniz, I. P.: Acid-stress Effects on Stream Biology, *Ambio*, 22, 298–307, 1993.
- 10 Horecký, J., Stuchlík, E., Chvojka, P., Bitušík, P., Liška, M., Pšenáková, P., and Špaček, J.: Effects of acid atmospheric deposition on chemistry and benthic macroinvertebrates of forest streams in the Brdy Mts (Czech Republic), *Acta Soc. Zool. Bohem.*, 66, 193–207, 2002.
- Horecký, J., Stuchlík, E., Chvojka, P., Hardekopf, D. W., Špaček, J., and Mihaljevič, M.: Macroinvertebrate community and chemistry of the most atmospherically acidified streams in the Czech Republic, *Water, Air, Soil Pollut.*, 173, 261–272, 2006.
- 15 Horsák, M.: Mollusc community patterns and species response curves along a mineral richness gradient: a case study in fens, *J. Biogeography*, 33(1), 98–107, 2006.
- Hruška, J., Moldan, F., and Krám, P.: Recovery from acidification in central Europe-observed and predicted changes of soil and streamwater chemistry in the Lysina catchment, Czech Republic, *Environ. Pollut.*, 120, 261–274, 2002.
- 20 Hruška, J. and Krám, P.: Modelling long-term changes in stream water and soil chemistry in catchments with contrasting vulnerability to acidification (Lysina and Pluhuv Bor, Czech Republic), *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 7, 525–539, 2003,  
<http://www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci.net/7/525/2003/>.
- Kellomäki, S. and Väisänen, H.: Modelling the dynamics of the forest ecosystem for climate change studies in the boreal conditions, *Ecological Modelling*, 97(1–2), 121–140, 1997.
- 25 Kopáček, J., Veselý, J., and Stuchlík, E.: Sulphur and nitrogen fluxes and budgets in the Bohemian Forest and Tatra Mountains during the Industrial Revolution (1850–2000), *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 5, 391–405, 2001,  
<http://www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci.net/5/391/2001/>.
- 30 Kopáček, J., Stuchlík, E., Veselý, J., Schaumburg, J., Anderson, I. C., Fott, J., Hejzlar, J., and Vrba, J.: Hysteresis in reversal of Central European mountain lakes from atmospheric acidification, *Water, Air, Soil Pollut.: Focus*, 2, 91–114, 2002.
- Kopáček, J., Hardekopf, D., Majer, V., Pšenáková, P., Stuchlík, E., and Veselý, J.: Response of

## Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- alpine lakes and soils to changes in acid deposition: the MAGIC model applied to the Tatra Mountain region, Slovakia-Poland, *J. Limnol.*, 63(1), 143–156, 2004a.
- Kopáček, J., Kaňa, J., Šantrůčková, H., Pícek, T., and Stuchlík, E.: Chemical and biochemical characteristics of alpine soils in the Tatra Mountains and their correlation with lake water quality, *Water, Air, Soil Pollut.*, 153, 307–327, 2004b.
- 5 Křeček, J. and Hořícká Z.: Degradation and recovery of mountain watersheds: the Jizera Mountains, Czech Republic, *Unasylva*, 207(52), 43–49, 2001.
- Lepori, F., Barbieri, A., and Ormerod, S. J.: Effects of episodic acidification on macroinvertebrate assemblages in Swiss Alpine streams, *Freshwater Biology*, 48(10), 1873–1885, 2003.
- 10 Majer, V., Cosby, B. J., Kopáček, J., and Veselý, J.: Modelling Reversibility of Central European Mountain Lakes from Acidification: Part I – The Bohemian Forest, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 7, 494–509, 2003,  
<http://www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci.net/7/494/2003/>.
- Moldan, B. and Schnoor, J.: Czechoslovakia: examining a critically ill environment, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 26, 14–21, 1992.
- 15 Novák, M., Kirchner, J. W., Groscheová, H., Havel, M., Černý, J., Krejčí, R., and Buzek, F.: Sulfur isotope dynamics in two Central European watersheds affected by high atmospheric deposition of SO<sub>x</sub>, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 64, 367–383, 2000.
- Økland, J. and Økland, K. A.: The effects of acid deposition on benthic animals in lakes and streams, *Experientia*, 42, 471–486, 1986.
- 20 Pehal, Z.: Hydrological and ionic balance of the source of the Litavka in the Brdy Mountains (in Czech), Diploma Thesis, 111 pp., 2004.
- Proe, M. F., Allison, S. M., and Matthews, K. B.: Assessment of the impact of climate change on the growth of Sitka spruce in Scotland, *Can. J. For. Res.*, 26, 1914–1921, 1996.
- 25 Puhe, J. and Ulrich, B.: Global climate changes and human impacts on forest ecosystems, *Ecological Studies* 143, Springer, Berlin, 592 pp., 2001.
- Sommaruga-Wögrath, S., Koinig, K. A., Sommaruga, R., Tessadri, R., and Psenner, R.: Temperature effects on the acidity of remote alpine lakes, *Nature*, 387, 64–67, 1997.
- Stumm, W. and Morgan, J. J.: *Aquatic Chemistry*. Wiley, New York, 780 pp., 1981.
- 30 Tipping, E., Woof, E., Rigg, A., Harrison, P., Ineson, P., Taylor, K., Benham, D., Poskitt, J., Rowland, A. P., Bol, R., and Harkness, D. D.: Climatic influences on the leaching of dissolved organic matter from upland UK moorland soils, investigated by a field manipulation experiment, *Environ. Int.*, 25, 83–95, 1999.

## Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Townsend, C. R., Hildrew, A. G., and Francis, J.: Community structure in some southern English streams: the influence of physicochemical factors, *Freshwater Biology*, 13, 521–544, 1983.
- UN-ECE.: Strategies and Policies for Air Pollution Abatement. Economic Commission for Europe (ECE.EB.AIR/65), Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution, United Nations, New York and Geneva, 1999.
- 5 van Breemen, N., Jenkins, A., Wright, R. F., Arp, W. J., Beerling, D. J., Berendse, F., Beier, C., Collins, R., van Dam, D., Rasmussen, L., Verburg, P. S. J., and Wills, M. A.: Impacts of elevated carbon dioxide and temperature on a boreal forest ecosystem (CLIMEX project), *Ecosystems*, 1, 345–351, 1998.
- 10 Veselý, J. and Majer, V.: The effect of pH and atmospheric deposition on concentrations of trace elements in acidified freshwaters: A statistical approach, *Water, Air, Soil Pollut.*, 88, 227–246, 1996.
- Veselý, J., Majer, V., and Norton, S. A.: Heterogeneous response of central European freshwaters to decreased acidic atmospheric deposition, *Environ. Pollut.*, 120, 275–281, 2002.
- 15 Wright, R. F.: Effect of increased CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature on runoff chemistry at a forested catchment in southern Norway (CLIMEX project), *Ecosystems*, 1, 216–225, 1998.
- Wright, R. F. and Jenkins, A.: Climate change as a confounding factor in reversibility of acidification: RAIN and CLIMEX projects, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 5, 477–486, 2001, <http://www.hydrol-earth-syst-sci.net/5/477/2001/>.
- 20 Wright, R. F., Aherne, J., Bishop, K., Camerero, L., Cosby, B. J., Erlandsson, M., Evans, C. D., Forsius, M., Hardekopf, D. W., Helliwell, R., Hruška, J., Jenkins, A., Kopáček, J., Moldan, F., Posch, M., and Rogera, M.: Modelling the effect of climate change on recovery of acidified freshwaters: Relative sensitivity of individual processes in the MAGIC model, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 365, 154–166, 2006.

## Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Table 1.** Morphological characteristics of the Litavka rain-fed branch, plus fixed and optimized parameters used in the MAGIC model. Measured fixed parameters are indicated by \*.

Location	49°42'17" N, 13°50'56" E	
Altitude (m a.s.l.)	695–843	
Catchment area (km <sup>2</sup> )	1.85	
Tree species, coverage	Norway spruce ( <i>Picea abies</i> ), 90% of catchment	
Bedrock	Cambrian conglomerate, sandstone, quartzite	
Soil	Cambisol	
Precipitation volume	640 mm/yr	
Discharge	260 mm/yr (estimated – see text)	
	Soil	Stream
Fixed Parameters (measured* or estimated)		
Soil depth (m)	0.78*	
Porosity (%)	50	
Bulk density (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	535*	
Cation exchange capacity (meq/kg)	126*	
SO <sub>4</sub> half-saturation (meq/ m <sup>3</sup> )	500	
SO <sub>4</sub> max-capacity (meq/kg)	12	
Ca saturation in 2001 (%)	1.7*	
Mg saturation in 2001 (%)	0.8*	
Na saturation in 2001 (%)	0.2*	
K saturation in 2001 (%)	3.4*	
Total base saturation in 2001 (%)	6.1*	
Total organic acid (mmol/m <sup>3</sup> )	100	12.4*
Temperature (°C)	5.0	6.6*
pCO <sub>2</sub> (atm)	0.0045	0.0005
pK <sub>1</sub> of organic acids (–Log)	2.64	2.64
pK <sub>2</sub> of organic acids (–Log)	5.66	5.66
pK <sub>3</sub> of organic acids (–Log)	5.94	5.94
Optimized parameters		
Al(OH <sub>3</sub> ) solubility constant (Log)	7.7	8.0
Weathering of Ca (meq/m <sup>2</sup> )	3.2	
Weathering of Mg (meq/m <sup>2</sup> )	18.0	
Weathering of Na (meq/m <sup>2</sup> )	0.0	
Weathering of K (meq/m <sup>2</sup> )	4.0	
Uptake of Ca (meq/m <sup>2</sup> )	8.0	
Uptake of Mg (meq/m <sup>2</sup> )	4.0	
Uptake of Na (meq/m <sup>2</sup> )	0.1	
Uptake of K (meq/m <sup>2</sup> )	7.2	
Selectivity coefficient Al-Ca (Log)	0.399	
Selectivity coefficient Al-Mg (Log)	1.170	
Selectivity coefficient Al-Na (Log)	–0.798	
Selectivity coefficient Al-K (Log)	–5.691	

**HESSD**

4, 3285–3315, 2007

## Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

EGU

**Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream**

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Table 2.** Average chemical parameters of stream water in 2005 and 2006 of both branches of the Litavka River. n= number of measurements.

Parameter	Units	Rain-fed branch, n=6	Spring-fed branch, n=4
pH		4.13	5.61
Gran alkalinity	$\mu\text{eq l}^{-1}$	−65	9
Reactive Al	$\mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$	65	2
$\text{Ca}^{2+}$	$\mu\text{eq l}^{-1}$	47	129
$\text{Mg}^{2+}$	$\mu\text{eq l}^{-1}$	64	174
$\text{Na}^{+}$	$\mu\text{eq l}^{-1}$	42	66
$\text{K}^{+}$	$\mu\text{eq l}^{-1}$	17	24
$\text{NH}_4^{+}$	$\mu\text{eq l}^{-1}$	1	4
SBC	$\mu\text{eq l}^{-1}$	170	393
$\text{SO}_4^{2-}$	$\mu\text{eq l}^{-1}$	386	303
$\text{NO}_3^{-}$	$\mu\text{eq l}^{-1}$	6	49
$\text{Cl}^{-}$	$\mu\text{eq l}^{-1}$	35	36
$\text{F}^{-}$	$\mu\text{eq l}^{-1}$	7	2
TOC	$\text{mg C l}^{-1}$	4.50	2.76

# Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version


Interactive Discussion

**Table 3.** Benthic macroinvertebrates of the Litavka rain-fed and spring-fed branches. The top of the table illustrates taxa differing between the two branches and the bottom lists taxa common to both branches. Bold indicates overall relative abundance higher than 1% and underlined higher than 10%; \**Amphinemura sulcicollis* has higher than 1% abundance in the rain-fed branch.

	Litavka rain-fed branch	Litavka spring-fed branch
Differing	Turbellaria	<i>Dendrocoelum</i> sp.
	Mollusca	–
	Plecoptera	<b><i>Nemoura cambrica</i></b>
	Ephemeroptera	–
	Odonata	<i>Aeshna</i> sp. juv.
	Megaloptera	<i>Sialis fuliginosa</i>
	Trichoptera	<i>Limnephilus coenosus</i> , <i>Micropterna nyctrobia</i> , <i>M. lateralis</i>
	Heteroptera	<i>Gerris lacustris</i> , <i>Notonecta glauca</i>
	Diptera	<i>Euphyllidorea</i> sp., <i>Wiedemannia</i> sp.
	Coleoptera	<i>Agabus bipustulatus</i> , <i>Deronectes platynotus</i> , <i>Hydroporus ferrugineus</i>
Common	Plecoptera	<b><u><i>Leuctra nigra</i></u></b> , <i>Amphinemura sulcicollis</i> *, <b><u><i>Nemurella pictetii</i></u></b> , <b><u><i>Protonemura auberti</i></u></b>
	Trichoptera	<b><i>Plectrocnemia conspersa</i></b>
	Heteroptera	<i>Velia caprai</i>
	Diptera	<i>Pedicia</i> (P.) <i>rivosa</i> , <i>Ceratopogonidae</i> g.sp., <i>Chelifera</i> sp., <b><i>Simulium</i> spp.</b> , <b><i>Tanypodinae</i> g.sp.</b> , <b><i>Orthoclaadiinae</i> g.sp.</b> , <b><i>Chironominae</i> g.sp.</b>
	Coleoptera	<i>Agabus guttatus</i> , <i>Agabus</i> spp. juv., <i>Anacaena globulus</i> , <i>Helophorus flavipes</i>

## Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.



**Fig. 1.** Map of the Czech Republic, showing the location of the Brdy Mountains and the Litavka River. The rain-fed and spring-fed branches are indicated. The star indicates the location of regular monitoring of the rain-fed branch, and the catchment is outlined.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

# Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.



**Fig. 2.** Comparison of data from the Nepomuk meteorological station and predicted by the HadAM3H regional climate model. **(A)** Regression of monthly average temperature (°C) from the control period 1970–1990 of Nepomuk data versus data predicted by HadAM3 ( $y = 0.9388x - 0.8527$ ,  $R^2 = 0.8559$ ). **(B)** Trends of monthly temperature for measured data from the Nepomuk station (control- lower x-axis, solid line) and predicted by the HadAM3 model (predicted- upper x-axis, dashed line). Average measured temperature from 1970–1990 is 7.3°C, and predicted for 2071–2100 is 11.0°C.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

# Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.



**Fig. 3.** Results of MAGIC modelling from 1860 to 2050. Solid line- modelled data, solid circles- yearly averages of measured data. All data is for stream chemistry, except base saturation for soil. All units in  $\mu\text{eq l}^{-1}$ , except Al ( $\mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ ) and base saturation (BS-%).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶


Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Fig. 4.** Predicted pH **(a)** and Gran alkalinity **(b)** in streamwater from temperature-related scenarios up to 2100. Solid line- base recovery case; predicted values based on recovery from acidification alone. Short dashed line- values predicted by a 20% increase in weathering. Long-dashed line- values predicted by a 50% increase in uptake of base cations and nitrate (forest growth). Values predicted by increased DOC and N mineralization were intermediary, and not shown.

## Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream

D. W. Hardekopf et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close


Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Predicting long-term recovery of a strongly acidified stream**

D. W. Hardekopf et al.



**Fig. 5.** Predicted soil base saturation from temperature-related scenarios up to 2100. **(a)** Values predicted for the base recovery case resulting from recovery from acidification alone (solid line), and results from individual scenarios. **(b)** Values predicted for the base recovery case (solid line), and predicted values from the combined effect of the tested scenarios (short dashed line).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion